435.36 00/00/2005 Rev. 06

NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI)

	Part A – NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION To be completed by the Task Lead for New Site)			
1.	Site Title: 2" SWA-106450 Leak North of CPP-1618	Site Code: CPP-125 NSI Evaluation Initiation Date:		
	The state of the s	4/27/2005		
2.	Task Lead For New Site: Wendell Jolley	Phone: 526-5990		
3.	NSI Coordinator: Nielsen Burch	Phone: 526-5676		
4.	Initiator or Initial Observer: Richard Willson	Phone: 526-9641		
5.	Description of Suspected New Site and Location:			
	Condensate System (JCS) to the service waste system for disposal. This system was designed to transfer non radioactive, nonhazardous waste. According to EDF-1667, section 7, "Voluntary Consent Order Tank System INTEC-050 – INTEC Waste Treatment Building Johnson Condensate System Characterization", October 11, 2004, the steam condensate from this system meets the requirements for the Waste Water Land Application Permit. Past leaks have been identified and repaired in this line at a location North of building CPP-1618. The last leak occurred between a stainless steel flange and a 6" vertical pipe CT-NC-152121 (see Figure 1). This leak was repaired in July 2002, and documented in Work Order Package number 56507. It also states in the work order that this same line has been repaired twice before at this same location. Because this system was designed to transfer nonhazardous, non-radioactive waste, there is no reason to believe that hazardous or radioactive substances were released to the environment form this pipe. This new site identification form was prepared to satisfy requirements from the Consent Order Action Plan found on page B-13 (Consent Order Idaho Code 39-4413 dated 6/14/2000). The hazardous waste determination for this line says that it is non hazardous (EDF-1667) which satisfies requirement A. Requirement B says that if it has a potential for release to the environment then it shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the FFA/CO.			
6.		1 the FFA/CO.		
	then it shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in	1 the FFA/CO.		
	then it shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in its shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in its shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in its shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in its shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the shall be evaluated by DOE and should be recommended for inclusion in the shall be evaluated by DOE and shall be	s No warrant further investigation, does not meet the		
	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6? Ye Recommendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT	s No warrant further investigation, does not meet the plan.		
	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6? Recommendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the commend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the commend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the commend including as new FFA/CO site.	s No warrant further investigation, does not meet the Plan. riteria for acceptance, may warrant further		
	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6? Recommendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Plan.	s No warrant further investigation, does not meet the Plan. riteria for acceptance, may warrant further		
	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6? Ye Recommendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the crinvestigation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommended WAG and Operable Unit to which site should be assigned.	s No warrant further investigation, does not meet the Plan. riteria for acceptance, may warrant further		
6. 7.	Is the site SWMU as defined in OSWER DIRECTIVE 9502.00-6? Ye Recommendation Recommend not including as a new FFA/CO site. This site DOES NOT criteria for acceptance, and should not be included under FFA/CO Action Recommend including as new FFA/CO site. This site DOES meet the crinvestigation, and should be included under FFA/CO Action Plan. Recommended WAG and Operable Unit to which site should be assigned WAG: 10 Operable Unit: 10-08	s No warrant further investigation, does not meet the plan. riteria for acceptance, may warrant further		

NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI)

PART B - INEEL FFA/CO RESPONSIBLE PROGRAM MANAGERS (RPM'S) CONCURRENCE		
ite Title: " SWA-106450 Leak North of CPP-1618	Site Code: CPP-125	
DOE-ID FFA/CO RPM Concurrence: Concur with recommendation.	Do not concur with the recommendation.	
Signature: Astillan E Nain	Date: 5/24/05	
Explanation: 5, to CPP-125 should be added to the		
disposition the site in the 2006 ROD,		
be moved to OU 10-05. No action is	3	
the VCO characterization of the took		
the material to the soil found no radiolo	ofical or RCIRA	
hazardour residuals		
	Do not concur with the recommendation. Date: 6-20-05	
State of Idaho FFA/CO RPM Concurrence: Signature: Explanation: Concur with recommendation. A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	Do not concur with the recommendation. Date: \(\frac{Q}{2} \frac{5}{C} \)	

NEW SITE IDENTIFICATION (NSI)

